Ø004/006

JAN 1 6 2007

IS01164TC

PATENT Case No. IS01164TC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:)	
•	NICK J. GRIVAS, ET. AL.)	
Serial No.:	10/737,234)	Examiner: PHUONG, DAI
Filed:	DECEMBER 16, 2003)	Group Art Unit: 2617
Title:	METHOD OF ENABLING A REMOTE COMMUNICATIONS DEVICE WITH A TELEMATICS FUNCTIONALITY MODULE)))	Conf. No.: 6348

Date of deposit: Jamary 16, 2007

I hardy cartify that this paper is being factimals transmitted or deposited with the United Sexes Poetal Service on the date indicated above, as first-cleas mail, with sufficient postage attached thereto, in an exercispe addressed to Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313 or feedballs presented to \$21,273,8300.

Signature of Person Mailing Paper

Tammy Olson
Printed Name of Person Mailing Paper

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22202-1450

Dear Sir:

This is Appellant's pre-appeal brief request for review.

JAN 1 6 2007

-- REMARKS --

A. Claims 1-9, 15, 16-21, and 26-34 were rejected under §102(e) as anticipated by Odinak The §102(e) rejection of claims 1-9, 15, 16-21, and 26-34 is traversed.

In order to maintain this rejection, each and every element of the claims must be disclosed in as great detail as claimed. "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

In essence, the Examiner respectfully appears to have Applicant's invention and Odinak's backwards. Odinak teaches, substantially, spoofing the MIN of a cellular phone to communicate with a cellular network – thus, the information transfer flows from the cellular phone to the telematics device embedded in the vehicle resulting in enabling the telematics unit with capability of the cellular telephone. In contrast, however, the instant claims require enabling the remote communications device with the telematics functionality module – thus the information flows from the telematics unit to the cellular phone and the cellular phone gains the capability of the telematics device.

The allegation that "simply connecting the remote communications device with the telematics device results in enabling the remote communications device with the telematics functionality module" is respectfully misplaced, and at a minimum, Odinak does not disclose this claim limitation in as great detail as claimed. Odinak may well disclose enabling the embedded device with functionality of the cellular telephone, but that is not claimed here. Simply communicating over the cradle is not the same as disclosing "enabling the remote communications device with the telematics functionality module" and therefore the Examiner's anticipation rejection is respectfully in error.

Additional support for Appellant's arguments are detailed in the response filed August 18, 2006 and will not be replicated here for the sake of brevity. However, each argument is reasserted as if presented here.

IS01164TC SUMMARY

The Examiner's rejections of claims 1-39 have been obviated by remarks herein supporting an allowance of pending claims 1-39 over the prior art. Appellant respectfully submits that claims 1-39 herein satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Dated: January 16, 2007

Respectfully submitted, NICK J. GRIVAS, ET. AL.

Continental Automotive Systems
Temic Automotive of North America, Inc.
Patents and Licenses
21440 Lake Cook Road, 7th Floor
Deer Park, IL 60010

Phone: (847) 862-0270 Fax: (847) 862-8308 Gary J. Cunningham Registration No. 33,488 Attorney for Applicant